

7. J. W. Cable, E. O. Wollan, and W. C. Koehler, Phys. Rev. 138A, 755 (1965); G. G. Low and T. M. Holden, Proc. Phys. Soc. 89, 119 (1966).
8. The experimental methods for the high pressure measurements have been described in previous publications; D. B. McWhan and A. L. Stevens, Phys. Rev. 154, 438 (1967); 139, A682 (1965); D. N. Lyon, D. B. McWhan and A. L. Stevens, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 38, 1234 (1967).
9. P. G. de Gennes, Compt. Rend. 247, 1836 (1958).
10. Similar measurements were made on an alloy $Pd_{97}Co_3$ and gave $\partial T_c / \partial p = 0.0 \pm 0.1 {}^\circ K \times 10^{-3} \text{ bar}^{-1}$, which corresponds to $\partial \ln J / \partial \ln V = +1.7 \pm 0.7$.
11. The decrease of $\partial \ln \mu / \partial \ln V$ for $Pd_{97}Fe_3$ when compared with the other two alloys suggests a concentration dependence of the magnetostriction. This is to be expected since in this alloy the probability of an Fe atom having another Fe atom nearest neighbor is 30%, whereas in the most dilute alloy it is only 3%. If we exclude the data for $Pd_{97}Fe_3$ from the average we obtain the result for dilute PdFe alloys, $\partial \ln J / \partial \ln V = +2.0 \pm 0.2$.
12. This behavior in Pd is analogous to that in ferromagnetic Ni, where Lang and Ehrenreich (Ref. 3) estimate that the negative strain-dependence of the intra-atomic Coulomb repulsion just outweighs the increase of the density of states with increasing volume, resulting in an increase of Curie temperature with pressure.